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Continuations are powerful, but so what?

• Many “exotic” features of functional languages have found their way into mainstream languages
  – “weak” first class functions
  – garbage collection
  – separation of mutable and immutable variables
  – ?? objects
• Weak notions of continuations already exist:
  – setjmp/longjmp in C
  – exceptions
Rules of the game

• Assume all objects in the stack are immutable: no assignments
  – Mutable objects are placed on the heap

Challenges in implementing continuations

• Continuations may escape:
  – fun yield() =
    if random()
    then ()
    else callcc(fn k => (enqueue k; dispatch()))
  – k is put on a queue and may be thrown even after yield and its
    callers return

• A continuation may be thrown multiple times
  – As long as there is a possibility that a continuation may be thrown,
    we must preserve all associated data
Not too different from challenges in implementing closures

```c
void client() {
    f = return_fcn(10);
    t = f(5)
}
```

```c
return_fcn(int i) {
    int n;
    int incr(int elem) {
        return elem+n;
    }
    n = i;
    return incr;
}
```

Need to keep an activation record around

Implementation strategies: allocating activation records on the stack

Allocate activation records on the stack but copy to the heap

Stack

Heap

callcc here
Example (cont.)

Let’s say the continuations is stored away and the top two functions return

```
Stack
```
```
Heap
```

Example (cont.)

Now let’s suppose the continuation is thrown

```
Stack
```
```
Heap
```
Example (cont.)

Now the code can return and then make another call...

Example (cont.)

Now the continuation may be thrown again...
Analysis of example

• Putting activation records on the stack can involve a lot of copying whenever a continuation is captured/thrown
  – Could put all activation records on the heap (SML/NJ model)
  – Can do the copying smartly (this paper)
• Goal: common case (normal calls, returns, non-escaping continuations) should be fast

Solution presented in the paper

• Allocate stack on the heap, but
  – Allocate large chunks of data to be used as the stack
  – Within a chunk, things are almost as efficient as on a hardware supported stack
  – Copy chunks (or part of chunks) lazily when continuations are captured/thrown
Normal execution (i.e., continuations are not captured or thrown)

Discussion

- Stack segment
  - Within a stack segment, you pretend you have a normal stack: activation records are pushed and popped just like in a hardware stack
  - If you reach the end of a segment, need to create a new segment
  - What happens when you return from the first AR in a segment?
Returning from a segment

- Return address of first frame in a segment is a “fake” underflow address:
  - Copies the next segment (or part of it) onto current segment
  - Grabs saved RA in segment record and jumps to it

Issues

- How big should a stack segment be?
  - Initial segment should be large enough to never run out in non-continuation case
  - Stack segment must be at least as large as the largest possible AR
  - If stack segments are small, then this strategy degenerates into putting each AR in its separate heap object
What happens when a continuation is captured?

What happens when a continuation is thrown?

Copy the top segment of the continuation, and set the PC to RA2
Some discussion

- The “underflow” trick allows them to do the copying lazily without adding additional checks
- If one knows that a continuation will only be thrown once, then don’t need to copy continuation segment on a throw

More discussion

- The underflow trick works well when one returns from a segment. But how about segment overflow?
- What did you like/dislike about this paper?
Discussion

• Continuations are powerful but are they needed in their full generality?
  – How about if they were limited never to escape?
  – How about if they could be thrown at most once?
  – ...?

Next topic: Growing a language

• Talk/Paper by Guy Steele: “Growing a language”
• Discussion only! No lecture!
• Be prepared to discuss!